arXiv:cond-mat/0306495v4 [cond-mat.str-el] 16 Sep 2003

Something about spin-fermion connection

Stanislav V. Dobrov
Institute of Electrophysics,
106 Amundsen st., Ekaterinburg 620016, Russia
E-mail: stas@iep.uran.ru

Abstract

Jordan-Wigner-type transformations connecting the spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ operators and two kinds of fermions are derived. A general condition of fermionizability of spins is obtained and a theorem establishing connection between half integer spins and fermions is proved. The fallibility of a previous attempt to generalize the Jordan-Wigner transformation for all spins (C.D. Batista and G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1082 (2001)) is pointed out.

1 Introduction

Variables exchange very often are used during solving of different problems. Such transformations are carried out in both classical and quantum mechanics. Sometimes they essentially simplify the analisis of the problem under consideration.

One of the most known nontrivial variables exchange in quantum many body theory is the Jordan-Wigner transformation (JWT) suggested by P. Jordan and E. Wigner in 1928 [1]. It establishs the connection between 1D lattice spins $\frac{1}{2}$ and spinless fermions on the same lattice. The most famous applications of the JWT are solution of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ XY-chain by E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis [2] and the very effective free fermions method developed by the same researchers to calculate thermodynamic quantities of the 2D Ising model [3]. Quite recently this transformation was generalized for 2D [4] and for D>2 [5] spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ systems.

But in nature all the spins up to $\frac{15}{2}$ exists [6]. Very interesting and important to understand the behaviour of the spin higher than $\frac{1}{2}$ systems. The study of quantum models with such spins demands nonstandart methods. Can the JWT-type transformations for higher spins help us to solve these problems ? To answer this question we first of all must try to derive such relations between spins and fermions. Recently the attempt to generalize JWT was undertaken by C.D. Batista and G. Ortiz [7]. Unfortunately, their attempt was indeed unsuccessful. Below we shall demonstrate this. Then we shall construct explicitly true transformations between spins $\frac{3}{2}$ and fermions of two kinds, derive a connection between spin $\frac{5}{2}$ and fermion and prove a general theorem establishing connection between half integer spins and fermions.

2 Mistake of C.D. Batista and G. Ortiz.

We shall find the mistake of Batista and Ortiz considering two examples. First — for integer spin, second — fo half-odd integer spin.

To begin we shall examine Batista and Ortiz transformations (BOT) for the spin 1. We shall be speaking on the language of usual fermi-operators of creation and annihilation but not of Hubbard operators as it was in [7]. Also we shall be omitting in this section the site indexes and the string operators as nonessential things for our consideration. Then the BOT can be written as

$$S^+ = \sqrt{2}((1-n_1)c_2^\dagger + (1-n_2)c_1)\,, \tag{1}$$

$$S^- = \sqrt{2}((1-n_1)c_2 + (1-n_2)c_1^\dagger)\,. \tag{2}$$

Here $S^\pm = S^x \pm \mathrm{i}S^y$; S^x, S^y, S^z — the operators of spin components, and the next commutative relations must be fulfiled:

$$[S^+,\, S^-] = 2S^z\,, \quad [S^z,\, S^\pm] = \pm S^\pm\,, \quad \{S^+,\, S^-\} = 2S(S+1) - 2(S^z)^2\,. \tag{3}$$

In our case $S = 1$. $c_{1,2}$ and $c_{1,2}^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators

for fermions of first (labeled as 1) and second (labeled as 2) kinds with the next commutative relations:

$$\{c_\alpha,\, c_\beta\} = 0\,,\ \{c^\dagger_\alpha,\, c^\dagger_\beta\} = 0\,,\ \{c_{\bar\alpha},\, c^\dagger_\alpha\} = 0\,,\ \{c_\alpha,\, c^\dagger_\alpha\} = 1 \quad (\alpha,\, \beta = 1,\, 2), \tag{4}$$

and $n_\alpha = c^\dagger_\alpha c_\alpha$.

From (1) and (2) it follows:

$$S^+S^- = 2(1 - n_1)\,, \tag{5}$$

$$S^-S^+ = 2(1 - n_2)\,. \tag{6}$$

Thus, from (3),

$$S^z = \frac{1}{2}[S^+,\, S^-] = n_2 - n_1 \tag{7}$$

in agreement with [7], and

$$(S^z)^2 = n_1 + n_2 - 2n_1n_2\,. \tag{8}$$

While (5) and (6) result in

$$\{S^+,\, S^-\} = 4 - 2n_1 - 2n_2\,, \tag{9}$$

the equations (3) and (8) lead us to

$$\{S^+,\, S^-\} = 4 - 2n_1 - 2n_2 + 4n_1n_2\,. \tag{10}$$

The last addendum in the right part of (10) absents in the right part of (9). That is the equations (9) and (10) contradict each other. Thus the BOT for an integer spin do not keep the spin operators algebra and hence are unacceptable.

Now we turn to BOT for half-odd integer spins. We shall consider the case of spin $\frac{3}{2}$, for which in [7] was suggested to introduce three kinds of flavourless fermions. According to BOT

$$S^+ = \sqrt{3}c^\dagger_1(1 - n_2)(1 - n_3) + 2c^\dagger_2c_1(1 - n_3) + \sqrt{3}c^\dagger_3c_2(1 - n_1) \tag{11}$$

and $S^- = (S^+)^\dagger$. Then

$$S^+S^- = 3n_1(1-n_2)(1-n_3) + 4n_2(1-n_1)(1-n_3) + \\ +3n_3(1-n_2)(1-n_1)\,, \tag{12}$$

$$S^-S^+ = 3(1-n_1)(1-n_2)(1-n_3) + 4n_1(1-n_2)(1-n_3) + \\ +3n_2(1-n_1)(1-n_3)\,. \tag{13}$$

So

$$\{S^+, S^-\} = 3 + 4n_1 + 4n_2 - 11n_1n_2 - 7n_1n_3 - 7n_2n_3 + 14n_1n_2n_3\,, \tag{14}$$

but

$$(S^z)^2 = \frac{1}{4}([S^+, S^-])^2 = \frac{9}{4} - 2n_1 - 2n_2 + \frac{7}{4}n_1n_2 - \\ -\frac{1}{4}n_1n_3 - \frac{1}{4}n_2n_3 + \frac{1}{2}n_1n_2n_3\,, \tag{15}$$

and we see that the third relation from (3) is not satisfied. Consequently, BOT for half-odd integer spins are wrong too.

The general conclusion is that the BOT are erroneous.

In the next section we shall construct true transformations between spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ operators and two kinds of fermions. From procedure which will be used it will be clear what spins can be represented through fermions.

3 Fermionization of spin $\frac{3}{2}$

Firstly we shall consider one-spin problem and after its solution generalize on a lattice.

Basis of the spin state space consists of four vectors $|-3/2\rangle$, $|-1/2\rangle$, $|1/2\rangle$, $|3/2\rangle$ being the eigenvectors of S^z operator. Phase space of two kinds fermions is four-dimensional too with the basis $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle = c_1^\dagger|0\rangle$, $|2\rangle = c_2^\dagger|0\rangle$, $|1\&2\rangle = c_2^\dagger c_1^\dagger|0\rangle$. Now we need establish a connection between spin operators

and operators acting in fermionic space. Let write out all the needed matrix representations of these operators:

$$S^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

and $S^- = (S^+)^\dagger = (S^+)^{\mathrm{T}}$;

$$c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

and $c_{1,2}^\dagger = (c_{1,2})^\dagger = (c_{1,2})^{\mathrm{T}}$. This matrices are sufficiently simple and one can easily see that the solution of the one-spin problem is:

$$\begin{cases} S^- = \sqrt{3}c_2 + 2c_2^\dagger c_1 \\ S^+ = \sqrt{3}c_2^\dagger + 2c_1^\dagger c_2 \end{cases}. \tag{18}$$

It is easily to check that all the relations (3) with $S = \frac{3}{2}$ are satisfied by (18) with

$$S^z = \frac{1}{2}[S^+,\, S^-] = -\frac{3}{2} + 2n_1 + n_2\,. \tag{19}$$

By the passage to a lattice we must introduce the commutativity of all spin operators acting on different sites. Hence we must modify (18):

$$\begin{cases} S_i^- = \sqrt{3}c_{2i}U_i + 2c_{2i}^\dagger c_{1i} \\ S_i^+ = \sqrt{3}U_i^\dagger c_{2i}^\dagger + 2c_{1i}^\dagger c_{2i} \end{cases}, \tag{20}$$

where for 1D lattice $U_i = \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\pi \sum\limits_{j<i}(n_{1j} + n_{2j})\right\}$ is the string operator. The form (19) for S_i^z with site index is not changed.

The inverse transformations are obtained in the same way. And for a lattice we have

$$\begin{cases} c_{1i} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_i^- S_i^z S_i^- W_i \,, & c_{1i}^\dagger = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W_i^\dagger S_i^+ S_i^z S_i^+ \,, \\ c_{2i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + S_i^z\right)^2 S_i^- W_i \,, & c_{2i}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W_i^\dagger S_i^+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + S_i^z\right)^2 \,, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

where for 1D lattice $W_i = \prod\limits_{j<i} X_j$ with

$$X_j = \frac{5}{4} - (S_j^z)^2 = \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - (S_j^z)^2 \right) \right\} . \tag{22}$$

All the relations (4) for one site are fulfiled and the operator W_i ensures anticommutativity the c-operators acting on different sites. The form of X_j one can construct from requirement

$$X_j c_{\alpha j} = -c_{\alpha j} X_j \,. \tag{23}$$

Assuming that X_j has a form

$$X_j = 1 + \xi S_j^z + \zeta (S_j^z)^2 + \eta (S_j^z)^3$$

with parameters ξ, ζ and η which must be defined from (23), taking into account (19) and introducing the normalization factor $\frac{5}{4}$ we shall get (22). Note that $X_j = X_j^\dagger$ and $X_j^2 = 1$.

Obtained transformations (19), (20) and (21) are sufficiently complicated and much efforts will be needed to derive some profit from them. Let try to transform the isotropic XY spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ chain in fermionic form using (20):

$$H = J \sum_i (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) = \frac{1}{2} J \sum_i (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} J \sum_i \Big(3 c_{2i}^\dagger (1 - 2n_{1i}) c_{2i+1} + 2\sqrt{3} U_i (c_{1i+1}^\dagger c_{2i+1} c_{2i} - c_{1i}^\dagger c_{2i} c_{2i+1}) +$$

$$+ 4 c_{2i+1}^\dagger c_{1i+1} c_{1i}^\dagger c_{2i} + \text{h.c.} \Big) \,. \tag{24}$$

We do not see the free fermions Hamiltonian as it was for spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ case [2]. Now fermions interact with the interaction being very complex due to operator U_i (string operator in 1D case).

Note that usual JWT one can get using the procedure described in this section.

So, we have explicitly constructed Jordan-Wigner-type transformations between spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ and two kinds of flavourless fermions. This transformations can be used to map spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ systems onto fermionic models with two kinds of fermions: with spin up and with spin down. And vice versa — to map fermionic model onto spin model.

Further the next questions arise. What can one say about spin 1 fermionization ? What spins can we fermionize ? Procedure applied above to fermionize the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ prompt us the answer: only operators of spins S, satisfying the equality

$$2S + 1 = 2^n\,, \quad n \in \mathrm{N} \tag{25}$$

can be expressed in terms of fermions. The matrices of spin-S operators being the infinitesimal matrices of irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ group with eigenvalue of Casimir operator $\mathbf{S}^2$ being equal $S(S+1)$ have only the size $(2S+1) \times (2S+1)$ and, of course, can not be presented through the matrices of other sizes. The phase space of n kinds of flavourless fermions is 2^n-dimensional. The representation of the algebra of fermionic operators in this space is irreducible too. Hence the condition (25) follows.

Spin 1 do not satisfy the condition (25). So, its operators can not be expressed in terms of fermions. The next spin suitable for fermionization is $\frac{7}{2}$ with three kinds of fermions being needed, then spin $\frac{15}{2}$ follows with four kinds of fermions etc. Of course, spin $\frac{1}{2}$ satisfy the condition (25) with $n = 1$ and usual Jordan-Wigner transformations exist [1].

4 General result

In previous section we have found that only the operators of spins S satisfying the equality

$$2S + 1 = 2^n \,, \tag{26}$$

can be expressed through only fermions. Other spins can not be subjected to complete fermionization. However it inevitably comes to mind that all half integer spins have to be connected with fermions. And indeed such a connection exists. Here we shall prove a general theorem establishing this connection. But at first we shall explicitly demonstrate it on example of spin $\frac{5}{2}$. We shall carry out a partial fermionization of this spin. Firstly we shall get one-spin expression and then generalize on a lattice to construct the Jordan-Wigner-type transformation [1].

To begin we shall write out the matrices of spin $\frac{5}{2}$:

$$S^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{27}$$

and $S^- = (S^+)^{\mathrm{T}}$. The operators satisfy the commutative relations (3).

Now let consider a system consisting of one spinless fermion and one spin 1. The operators of the former we shall denote by c and $c^\dagger$ with the next commutative relation: $\{c, c^\dagger\} = 1$, — and $c^2 = (c^\dagger)^2 = 0$; the operators of the latter we shall denote by $T^\pm$ and T^z with commutative relations being the same as (3) where S must be replaced by T. Again we shall write out the

matrices of the operators being needed further:

$$c^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

$$T^+c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

$$(T^z)^2c^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

and we see from (27)–(30) that

$$\begin{cases} S^+ = 3c^\dagger + 2T^+c + (\sqrt{5}-3)(T^z)^2c^\dagger\,, \\ S^- = 3c + 2T^-c^\dagger + (\sqrt{5}-3)(T^z)^2c \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

and

$$S^z = \frac{1}{2}[S^+, S^-] = -\frac{1}{2} + c^\dagger c + 2T^z\,. \tag{32}$$

All the relations (3) are satisfied by (31) and (32).

By the passage to a lattice we must modify (31):

$$\begin{cases} S_i^+ = \left(3c_i^\dagger + 2T_i^+ c_i + (\sqrt{5}-3)(T_i^z)^2 c_i^\dagger\right) U_i\,, \\ S_i^- = U_i^\dagger \left(3c_i + 2T_i^- c_i^\dagger + (\sqrt{5}-3)(T_i^z)^2 c_i\right)\,. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

Here the operator U_i ensures commutativity of spin-$\frac{5}{2}$ operators acting on different sites and for 1D case it is the usual string operator:

$$U_i = \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\pi \sum_{j<i} c_j^\dagger c_j\right\}\,. \tag{34}$$

So, we have expressed spin $\frac{5}{2}$ through one spinless fermion and one spin 1. Being supported by this result and results obtained in previous section, one can maintain that each half integer spin can be represented through either only fermions or fermions and some integer spin. In other words from each half integer spin one can extract fermionic degrees of freedom so that only integer spin, including spin 0, remain. Let give a rigorous proof.

Consider half integer spin S. Then $2S+1$ is an even number. Let

$$\begin{cases} 2S+1 = 0 \bmod 2^n \\ 2S+1 > 0 \bmod 2^{n+1} \end{cases}, \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathrm{N} \tag{35}$$

Further consider an *integer* spin T such as

$$2T+1 = \frac{2S+1}{2^n} \tag{36}$$

The matrices of the spin T operators algebra representation that is the matrices of the form $(T^+)^k (T^z)^l$ and $(T^z)^l (T^-)^k$ $(k,\ l = 0, \ldots, 2T)$ originate the complete (and even overcomplete) set in the space of matrices of the size $(2T+1)\times(2T+1)$. Denote these matrices as A_i $(i = 1, \ldots, (2T+1)^2)$. The matrices of n flavourless fermions operators representation that is the matrices of the form $(c_1^\dagger)^{k_1} \ldots (c_n^\dagger)^{k_n} (c_n)^{l_n} \ldots (c_1)^{l_1}$ $(k_\alpha,\ l_\beta = 0,\ 1;\ \alpha,\ \beta = 1, \ldots, n)$ in the phase space of these fermions originate the complete set in the space of matrices of size $2^n \times 2^n$. Denote these matrices as Γ_j $(j = 1, \ldots, 2^{2n})$. Then

the set of matrices $A_i \otimes \Gamma_j$ originate the complete set in the space of matrices of size $[(2T+1)2^n] \times [(2T+1)2^n] = (2S+1) \times (2S+1)$. Hence the next decompositions exist

$$S^{\pm} = \sum_{i=1}^{(2T+1)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2n}} \alpha_{ij}^{\pm} A_i \otimes \Gamma_j \,, \quad S^z = \sum_{i=1}^{(2T+1)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2n}} \alpha_{ij}^{z} A_i \otimes \Gamma_j \,, \tag{37}$$

Q.E.D. Here $\alpha_{ij}^{\pm,\,z}$ are some numbers. Note that simultaneously we once again derive the condition of complete fermionization: $2S+1 = 2^n$, — when $T = 0$.

By the passage to a lattice all the fermion-like operators have to get the same factor U_i in S_i^+ or $U_i^\dagger$ in S_i^- which in 1D case is the usual string operator:

$$U_i = \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i}\pi \sum_{j<i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} c_{\alpha j}^\dagger c_{\alpha j} \right\} . \tag{38}$$

Here i and j are the site indexes.

Unfortunetly, further fermionization that is a complete fermionization of integer spins is impossible. Let adduce another argument, being additional to the argument produced above, in favor of this statement. The first term in the right side of the third relation in (3) inevitably make us to deal with the full fermionic algebra being considered in trying to fermionize a spin S. Number of basis elements in each fermionic algebra is an even number. But the number of basis elements in the algebra of spin S is equal to $(2S+1)^2$ and for an integer spin is an odd number. It is clear that there is no isomorphism between these algebras.

5 Conclusion

Thus we have shown that each half integer spin S such as the equality and inequality (35) fulfil can be represented in terms of n fermions with n being the same as in (35) and some integer spin, including spin 0. Obtained result can be applied in investigations of different quantum spin systems.

References

[1] P. Jordan and E. Wigner, Z. Phys. **47**, 631 (1928).

[2] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **16**, 407 (1961).

[3] T.D. Schultz, D.C. Mattis, E.H. Lieb, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 856 (1964).

[4] E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 322 (1989); D. Eliezer and G.W. Semenoff, Phys. Lett. B **286**, 118 (1992).

[5] L. Huerta and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3622 (1993).

[6] F. Mila, Eur. J. Phys. **21**, 499 (2000).

[7] C.D. Batista and G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1082 (2001).